

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,275		03/27/2001	Akira Nakabayashi	1920-060	6852
32172	7590	06/01/2005		EXAM	INER
		RO MORIN & O	HARLAN, ROBERT D		
1177 AVEN 41 ST FL.	IUE OF TH	HE AMERICAS (61	'H AVENUE)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORI	K, NY 10	036-2714		1713	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/806,275	NAKABAYASHI	AKIRA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert D. Harlan	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered tin THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	11 March 2005.		·
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	•	• •	ne ments is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	drawn from consideration. ected. 2-140 and 142-166 is/are obje		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form i	710-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this Nation	al Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (P 	TO-152)

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. The Amendment and Petition for Time Extension filed by Applicant on 03/31/05 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 9-10, 22-24, 31-34 and 38 have been canceled.
- 3. New claims 39-170 have been added.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 4. Applicant's amendment and arguments filed on 03/12/02 have been fully considered and they are found persuasive.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murasawa et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,547,823 (hereinafter "Murasawa") is withdrawn.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1-38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kimura et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,407,033 (hereinafter "Kimura") is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 1, 101, 141 and 167-170 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to
 particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter
 which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Claims 1, 101, 141 and 167-170 are problematic. The Applicants recite "selected from the group of different compounds each of which independently comprises at least on structural unit selected from the group consisting of . . ." (emphasis added). First, what are the different compounds? Second, "comprises" should not be insert when using a Markush format. Finally, there is a second Markush limitation that is proper but it is unclear if it is related to the first Markush limitation.
- 10. The claims express alternative limitation and should be written in a proper Markush format. Alternative expressions are

permitted if they present no uncertainty or ambiguity with respect to the question of scope or clarity of the claims. One acceptable form of alternative expression, which is commonly referred to as a Markush group, recites members as being 'selected from the group consisting of A, B and C" or wherein the group is A, B or C. See Ex parte Markush, 1925 C.D. 126 (Comm'r Pat. 1925). It is improper to use the term "comprising" along with "consisting of." See Ex parte Dotter, 12 USPQ 382 (Bd. App. 1931). It is suggested that the Applicant adopt a standard Markush format. See MPEP 2173.05(h).

- 11. Claims 1, 101, 141 and 167-170 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claims 2-8, 11-21, 25-30, 32-37, 39-100, 102-140 and 142-166 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

Page 5

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1713

Art Unit 1713

Page 6

rdh